



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/807,343

03/24/2004

Steven Burnett

08350.9194-02000

3491

58982

7590

04/25/2008

CATERPILLAR/FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, L.L.P.

901 New York Avenue, NW

WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER

FOX, CHARLES A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3652

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/25/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/807,343	Applicant(s) BURNETT ET AL.	
	Examiner Charles A. Fox	Art Unit 3652	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Charles A. Fox. (3)_____.

(2) Panyin A. Hughes. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 15, 19 and 29.

Identification of prior art discussed: Libermann et al. US 4,112,823.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the Liberman refence in relation to the claims as written. The examiner holds that Liberman teaches the general concept of the instant invention, and teaches most of the structure as claimed. Adding structure that distinguishes over the reference will be needed to move this application forward. However the examiner can not comment on allowability at this time without a copy of proposed amendments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Charles A. Fox/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3652

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required